

Development of selectivity systems for gadoid trawls



**Tests with 45- and 55-mm bar spacing grids onboard M/Tr
Hermes, November 2021**

Note March 2021

Manu Sistiaga, Jesse Brinkhof, Bent Herrmann, Roger B. Larsen, Eduardo Grimaldo, Neil Anders, Ilmar Brinkhof, Dagfinn Lilleng, Hermann Pettersen and Simon Eliseussen



Table of Contents

Summary	3
Sammendrag	4
1. Background	5
2. Materials and methods	6
2.1. Fishing trials	6
2.2. Data analysis	6
3. Results	8
3.1. Selectivity analysis	9
3.2. Exploitation pattern indicators	11
4. Discussion and conclusion	12
References	13

Summary

One of the main objectives in the project “Development of selectivity systems for gadoid trawls” is to “study the effect of using different bar-spacings and meshes for the exploitation pattern of cod, haddock and saithe”. In 2020 a research cruise was carried out to compare two Sort-V grids with 45- and 55-mm bar spacing, respectively. The results from that cruise showed that the selectivity for cod and haddock larger than Minimum Legal Size (*MLS*) differed between the grids (i.e. the 45 mm grid retained more fish larger than *MLS*), whereas the selectivity for fish smaller than *MLS* did not. Encouraged by these results, an additional cruise to compare these two grids was carried out onboard the commercial trawler M/Tr Hermes in November 2021.

During the commercial cruise, data were collected in two different fishing grounds, around Bjørnøya and west of Sørøya, and for three different species, cod, haddock and saithe. The results of the cruise showed as in the research cruise of 2020, that the selectivity of the 45- and 55-mm grids differs substantially. For all three species, the 45 mm grid retains in general significantly more fish larger than *MLS* than the 55 mm grid, but in some cases it also retains significantly more fish smaller than *MLS*. In all cases, the difference in retention rate between the grids was larger for fish larger than *MLS* than for fish smaller than *MLS*. The exploitation pattern indicators calculated show that the sorting ability of grids can vary and that catch patterns depend largely on the area and population fished e.g. the discard ratio for haddock increased from <3% to >50% for both grids when the fishery moved from Bjørnøya to the areas west of Sørøya. The results obtained indicate that gear choice including sorting devices other than grids may be necessary in the near future to increase catch efficiency and consequently reduce effort.

Finally, the data analysis in this study was conducted using the paired-gear method and shows the limitations of an indirect data collection method. For future experiments where the size range of the species is expected to be at the edge of the selectivity range of the gear, a direct method like the covered codend method is recommended instead.

Sammendrag

Et av hovedmålene i prosjektet “Utvikling av seleksjonssystemer i torsketrål” er å “studere effekten av å bruke forskjellige spileavstander og maske-fasonger for beskatningsmønsteret av torsk, sei og hyse”. I 2020 ble det gjennomført et forskningstokt for å sammenligne to Sort-V sorteringsrister med henholdsvis 45- og 55-mm spilleavstand. Resultatene viste signifikant forskjell i seleksjonen av torsk og hyse over minstemål (dvs. 45 mm risten fanget mer fisk over minstemål), mens seleksjonen for fisk under minstemål ikke var signifikant forskjellig. På bakgrunn av resultatene fra forskningstoktet ble det gjennomført et oppfølgingstokt-tokt ombord den kommersielle tråleren M/Tr Hermes i november 2021.

I løpet av dette kommersielle toktet ble det samlet data i to forskjellige fiskefelt, rundt Bjørnøya og vest for Sørøya, for tre forskjellige arter: Torsk, hyse og sei. I samsvar med resultatene fra forskningstoktet viser disse resultatene at størrelsesseleksjonen for 45- og 55-mm ristene er signifikant forskjellig. For alle tre artene var tilbakeholdelsen av fisk over minstemål i 45 mm risten generelt høyere enn for 55 mm risten, men i noen tilfeller viste 45 mm risten også høyere tilbakeholdelse for fisk under minstemål. I samtlige tilfeller var forskjellen i tilbakeholdelse mellom ristene høyere for fisk over minstemål enn under minstemål. Fangstsammensetningen er i stor grad avhengig av populasjonssammensetningen i området man fisker i. For eksempel viste det seg at andelen av fisk under minstemål i forhold til den totale fangsten for hyse økte fra <3% to >50% for både 45- og 55-mm rist når fiskeområdet ble skiftet fra Bjørnøya til Sørøya. Resultatene indikerer at et friere valg av ulike seleksjons-anordninger enn kun rist kan bli nødvendig i fremtiden for å øke fangsteffektivitet og dermed minke innsatsen.

Til slutt det å bemerke at dataene i dette studiet er analyserte ved å benytte dobbeltrål metoden («paired gear method») og analysen viser begrensingene ved denne indirekte datainnsamlingsmetoden. I fremtidige forsøk der størrelsessammensetningen av fisken er forventet å være på grensen til seleksjonsevnen til sorteringsredskapet, anbefales det å bruke direkte innsamlingsmetoder, som dekknett metoden (covered codend method).

1. Background

A research cruise carried out onboard R/V “Helmer Hanssen” in December 2020 showed important selectivity differences between a 45 mm steel grid and 55 mm steel grid for cod, haddock and redfish (Sistiaga et al., 2021). For all three species, which have a Minimum Legal Size (*MLS*) of 44, 40 and 32 cm respectively, the retention of most length classes larger than *MLS* was significantly larger for the 45 mm grid, while the differences in retention for the fish smaller than *MLS* between the two grids tested were not significant in any case (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1: Retention probability with the 45 mm steel grid and the 55 mm steel grid for cod (a-c), haddock (d-f) and redfish (g-i) in the research cruise carried out onboard R/V Helmer Hanssen in December 2020. In the comparison, the standard Sort-V section with a 45 mm steel grid in red and the standard Sort-V section with a 55 mm steel grid in black.

Encouraged by the results obtained in the research cruise in December 2020, the project group decided to compare the performance of the Sort-V steel grid with these two different bar spacings, 45- and 55-mm, in purely commercial fishing conditions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Fishing trials

Fishing trials were conducted in the Barents Sea, around Bear Island ($73^{\circ} 58' 139''$ / $74^{\circ} 31' 071''$ N – $18^{\circ} 11' 733''$ / $25^{\circ} 02' 314''$ E), and off the coast of Norway, west of Sørøya ($70^{\circ} 53' 291''$ / $71^{\circ} 13' 818''$ N – $21^{\circ} 33' 966''$ / $21^{\circ} 57' 379''$ E), between the 9th and 21st of November 2021. The commercial vessel “M/Tr Hermes” (55 m LOA, 1572 Gross Tonnage) was chartered for the trials. The vessel operates two Mørenot 634# trawls (headline height ca. 7m) in a twin setup with a pair of Scorpion injector doors (10.5 m, 4700 kg each), a central clump and 100 m sweeps. The door distance was typically 220-250 m.

One of the trawls was rigged with either a 55 mm or 45 mm steel grid sorting section (Sort-V type) in front of the extension piece and a blinded codend (test gear), whereas the other trawl was rigged without grid section and with a blinded codend (control gear). The codends used were built of 133 mm meshes (#100 meshes long and 80 free meshes around) and were blinded by means of liners built of 45 mm nominal size meshes, which ensured that no fish under 10 cm can escape from the codend (Sistiaga et al., 2011). The test and control gears were alternated between the starboard and port sides every 5 to 8 hauls (Table 1).




Fig. 2: Illustration of a Sort-V type grid section used during the fishing trials.

The catch in the test and control gear was kept separated. All cod, haddock and saithe ($MLS = 45$ cm) were measured to the nearest cm below except for those hauls where for practical issues the catch had to be subsampled. In the hauls where the catch had to be subsampled, all fish in the fraction that was not measured were counted and the subsampling factor calculated.

2.2. Data analysis

The length measurements were used as paired-gear data to estimate the selectivity of the two grid sections for cod, haddock and saithe. The selectivity analysis was carried out following the

procedure described in Wileman et al., 1996 for paired gear data analysis. We used the software tool SELNET (Herrmann et al. 2012) for the analysis. Eight different models were considered: Logit, Probit, Gompertz, Richard, CLogit, CProbit, CGompertz and CRichard (Lomeli et al., 2019). The model with the lowest AIC value (Akaike, 1974) was chosen for further analysis. Once the specific size-selection model was identified for each species and codend configuration, the double bootstrap method implemented in SELNET was used to obtain the confidence limits for the size selection curve and the corresponding parameters. This bootstrapping approach is identical to the one described in Millar (1993) and takes into consideration both within-haul and between-haul variation. For each species analyzed, 1000 bootstrap repetitions were conducted. Each bootstrap run resulted in a set of data that was pooled and then analyzed using the identified selection model. Thus, each bootstrap run resulted in an average selection curve. The Efron percentile 95% confidence limits for the average selection curve were obtained based on the same 1000 bootstrap repetitions (Efron 1982; Herrmann et al. 2012).

To investigate how the different codend configurations affected the capture pattern for each species separately, we estimated the value of three exploitation pattern indicators, nP^- , nP^+ , and $nDiscard$ (discard ratio). nP^- represents the probability for fish under *MLS* to be retained, nP^+ is the probability for fish larger than *MLS* to be retained, and the discard ratio is the ratio between the number of fish smaller than *MLS* retained and the total number of fish retained in the test codend. These indicators, which are dependent on the entry population in the gear, are often used in fishing gear size selectivity studies to supplement assessment solely based on selectivity curves (Santos et al. 2016; Sala et al. 2017; Cheng et al. 2019; Kalogirou et al. 2019; Melli et al. 2020). The indicators were calculated using the procedure described in Wienbeck et al. 2014:

$$\begin{aligned} nP^- &= 100 \times \frac{\sum_j \sum_{l < MLS} (nTestC_{jl})}{\sum_j \sum_{l < MLS} (nControlC_{jl})} \\ nP^+ &= 100 \times \frac{\sum_j \sum_{l > MLS} (nTestC_{jl})}{\sum_j \sum_{l > MLS} (nControlC_{jl})} \\ * DiscardRatio &= 100 \times \frac{\sum_j \sum_{l < MLS} (nTestC_{jl})}{\sum_j \sum_l (nTestC_{jl})} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

* Regarding discard ratio it is important to bear in mind that discards are not allowed in Norway, and that the name of the indicator was only chosen because it is the most commonly used term in literature.

3. Results

During the cruise we carried out a total of 46 hauls that were used for selectivity analysis, 24 in the area of Bjørnøya and 22 in the are west of Sørøya. The species captured in sufficient numbers to be included in the selectivity analysis were cod and haddock in Bjørnøya, and cod, haddock and saithe west of Sørøya (Table 1). During the cruise, a total of 28190 cod, 42987 haddock and 50325 saithe were measured.

Table 1: Overview of the hauls conducted during the experimental sea trials and the numbers of cod, haddock, and saithe retained in the test and control gears. BØ: Bjørnøya. SØ: Sørøya. SF: subsampling fraction applied to each compartment.

Area	Grid	Haul Nr	Test side	Towing time	Depth (m)	Cod				Haddock				Saithe			
						Test	SF	Control	SF	Test	SF	Control	SF	Test	SF	Control	SF
BØ	45 mm	2	BB	02:45	112	246	1.000	476	1.000	1739	0.863	2487	0.604	–	–	–	–
BØ	45 mm	3	BB	03:17	105	237	1.000	201	1.000	1066	1.000	823	1.000	–	–	–	–
BØ	45 mm	4	BB	03:39	127	190	0.926	287	0.833	840	1.000	729	1.000	–	–	–	–
BØ	45 mm	5	BB	03:04	116	200	1.000	275	1.000	1510	1.000	1633	0.980	–	–	–	–
BØ	45 mm	6	BB	03:12	130	160	1.000	196	1.000	1082	1.000	1210	1.000	–	–	–	–
BØ	45 mm	7	SB	04:58	130	97	1.000	195	1.000	407	1.000	482	1.000	–	–	–	–
BØ	45 mm	8	SB	04:58	125	293	1.000	260	1.000	3399	0.442	3644	0.412	–	–	–	–
BØ	45 mm	9	SB	02:53	135	207	1.000	173	1.000	1194	1.000	1323	1.000	–	–	–	–
BØ	45 mm	10	SB	02:56	111	96	1.000	112	1.000	290	1.000	340	1.000	–	–	–	–
BØ	45 mm	11	SB	03:00	111	136	1.000	350	1.000	73	1.000	333	1.000	–	–	–	–
BØ	55 mm	12	SB	03:59	135	99	1.000	203	1.000	80	1.000	204	1.000	–	–	–	–
BØ	55 mm	13	SB	03:57	68	187	1.000	153	1.000	133	1.000	142	1.000	–	–	–	–
BØ	55 mm	15	SB	03:54	132	402	1.000	592	1.000	4229	0.357	6107	0.247	–	–	–	–
BØ	55 mm	16	SB	03:41	130	436	1.000	686	1.000	2422	0.619	3920	0.383	–	–	–	–
BØ	55 mm	17	SB	06:10	130	599	1.000	885	1.000	5675	0.264	6795	0.221	–	–	–	–
BØ	55 mm	18	SB	07:42	132	440	1.000	947	1.000	2079	0.722	5053	0.297	–	–	–	–
BØ	55 mm	20	BB	02:05	125	32	1.000	178	1.000	75	1.000	381	1.000	–	–	–	–
BØ	55 mm	21	BB	02:54	135	19	1.000	228	1.000	36	1.000	129	1.000	–	–	–	–
BØ	55 mm	22	BB	03:14	120	73	1.000	338	1.000	13	1.000	370	1.000	–	–	–	–
BØ	55 mm	23	BB	03:41	280	1065	1.000	2013	0.745	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
BØ	55 mm	24	BB	03:36	300	1339	1.000	2659	0.572	1	1.000	14	1.000	–	–	–	–
BØ	55 mm	25	BB	02:48	295	1528	0.987	2690	0.565	4	1.000	14	1.000	–	–	–	–
BØ	55 mm	26	BB	04:11	290	1784	0.841	3764	0.399	1	1.000	10	1.000	–	–	–	–
BØ	55 mm	27	BB	03:46	300	850	1.000	1721	0.871	5	1.000	15	1.000	–	–	–	–
SØ	55 mm	28	BB	01:03	220	24	1.000	70	1.000	8	1.000	186	1.000	972	1.000	5093	0.295
SØ	55 mm	29	BB	02:40	190	15	1.000	95	1.000	14	1.000	987	0.412	325	1.000	4766	0.315
SØ	55 mm	30	BB	03:08	186	47	1.000	144	1.000	56	1.000	1107	1.000	1201	1.000	4747	0.319
SØ	55 mm	31	BB	04:30	200	14	1.000	150	1.000	8	1.000	1728	0.429	120	1.000	3479	0.431
SØ	55 mm	32	BB	03:34	185	23	1.000	122	1.000	12	1.000	1509	0.333	402	1.000	4858	0.313
SØ	55 mm	33	SB	03:07	180	25	1.000	116	1.000	32	1.000	861	0.333	938	1.000	7286	0.207
SØ	55 mm	34	SB	04:34	195	26	1.000	168	1.000	12	1.000	1206	0.222	167	1.000	2029	0.739
SØ	55 mm	35	SB	02:58	200	42	1.000	194	1.005	18	1.000	817	0.400	197	1.000	2776	0.540
SØ	55 mm	36	SB	03:29	220	30	1.000	167	1.000	16	1.000	662	0.285	580	1.000	3962	0.381
SØ	55 mm	37	SB	03:05	200	23	1.000	133	1.000	10	1.000	406	0.500	706	1.000	5703	0.264
SØ	45 mm	38	SB	03:14	200	88	1.000	121	1.000	107	1.000	1241	0.267	3184	0.474	4201	0.357
SØ	45 mm	39	SB	04:59	200	78	1.000	153	1.000	105	1.000	1345	0.200	3902	0.404	4581	0.322
SØ	45 mm	40	SB	03:21	205	85	1.000	143	1.000	59	1.000	1419	0.333	1213	1.000	2844	0.526
SØ	45 mm	41	SB	03:22	195	102	1.000	121	1.000	82	1.000	873	0.444	1859	0.807	2720	0.555
SØ	45 mm	42	BB	04:07	200	62	1.000	131	1.000	157	1.000	1205	0.182	2277	0.663	4215	0.358
SØ	45 mm	43	BB	03:55	220	39	1.000	137	1.000	26	1.000	1180	0.200	647	1.000	1114	1.000
SØ	45 mm	44	BB	01:37	210	53	1.000	78	1.000	185	1.000	532	0.250	2127	0.705	2940	0.510
SØ	45 mm	45	BB	02:09	195	9	1.000	17	1.000	14	1.000	547	1.000	315	1.000	695	1.000
SØ	45 mm	46	BB	01:19	200	30	1.000	60	1.000	33	1.000	473	1.000	281	1.000	323	1.000
SØ	45 mm	47	BB	01:50	225	70	1.000	114	1.000	43	1.000	491	1.000	1346	1.000	1402	1.000
SØ	45 mm	48	SB	02:02	210	108	1.000	65	1.000	853	1.000	55	1.000	1430	1.000	1352	1.000
SØ	45 mm	49	SB	01:29	200	38	1.000	32	1.000	313	1.000	34	1.000	1401	1.000	1557	1.000

3.1. Selectivity analysis

The size selectivity analysis results showed primarily that although the models chosen fitted the data well (Fig. 3-4), the p-values obtained for fit of the model were generally low and below 0.05 in 6 out of the 10 cases (Table 2). In the case of cod and saithe, these low p-values were most likely consequence of overdispersion of the data and the precision of the paired-gear sampling methodology used, which is substantially lower than for the covered codend method (Herrmann et al., 2016). For haddock, in addition to the lack of precision implicit in an indirect method, the lack of fish of sizes over the selective range of the gear contributed to the difficulty to fit a model to the data. For haddock captured in the area west of Sørøya with the 55 mm grid, the lack of fish that was large enough to define the whole selection curve led to that the split parameter (fishing power of the test gear with respect to the overall fishing power of both gears, i.e. a split of 0.5 means that both gears have equal fishing power) was manually fixed at 0.5, which seemed adequate considering that in most other cases the split was estimated to be close to 0.5.

In four out of the ten cases, the model with the lowest AIC value did not contain the parameter contact (C), which represents the fraction of fish that is subjected to a size selection process at the grid, e.g. a contact value of 0.8 would indicate that 80% of the fish entering the grid section was size selected by the grid. Considering that the contact parameter values estimated in the cases where the contact parameter was present in the chosen model was in all cases >0.95 , it seems natural that in four cases the best fit was given by models with $C = 1$ (the parameter does not need to be considered in the model).

Table 2: Selection model, selectivity parameters, and fit statistics for the two grids tested during the sea trials.

	Model	LSO	SR	Contact (C)	CL50	CSR	D	Split	Deviance	DOF	P-Value
BJØRNØYA	Cod 45 mm CRichard	44.02 (40.64 - 47.70)	9.20 (5.18 - 13.62)	0.99 (0.85 - 1.01)	44.10 (40.69 - 48.00)	8.97 (3.88 - 13.51)	0.011 (-0.162 - 99.827)	0.502 (0.432 - 0.572)	90.9	93	0.542
	55 mm Richard	57.39 (54.13 - 61.67)	20.78 (15.97 - 28.61)	—	—	—	0.084 (0.025 - 0.414)	0.491 (0.448 - 0.550)	126.71	97	0.023*
	Haddock 45 mm Richard	39.01 (35.95 - 41.33)	13.02 (8.83 - 17.74)	—	—	—	0.010 (-0.026 - 0.244)	0.502 (0.457 - 0.547)	102.04	63	0.001**
	55 mm Probit	58.69 (48.45 - 76.94)	25.27 (19.54 - 33.67)	—	—	—	—	0.689 (0.528 - 0.849)	111.03	65	0.003**
SØRØYA	Cod 45 mm Logit	43.44 (40.24 - 47.08)	12.16 (8.97 - 15.58)	—	—	—	—	0.510 (0.450 - 0.572)	92.79	87	0.316
	55 mm CRichard	58.56 (55.91 - 61.09)	7.54 (4.59 - 11.84)	0.98 (0.94 - 0.99)	58.72 (56.02 - 61.23)	7.10 (4.32 - 11.26)	0.035 (0.016 - 100.002)	0.389 (0.317 - 0.465)	82.98	83	0.48
	Haddock 45 mm CLogit	47.92 (43.29 - 52.59)	10.40 (7.00 - 13.92)	0.99 (0.95 - 0.99)	48.06 (43.54 - 52.75)	10.20 (6.68 - 13.69)	—	0.569 (0.393 - 0.698)	81.91	53	0.007**
	55 mm CLogit	58.78 (54.27 - 65.15)	10.90 (4.74 - 17.35)	0.99 (0.99 - 0.99)	58.88 (54.30 - 65.28)	10.76 (4.69 - 17.14)	—	0.500 (0.500 - 0.500)	61.14	46	0.067
	Saithe 45 mm CLogit	46.31 (44.17 - 47.80)	7.30 (0.70 - 12.55)	0.89 (0.60 - 0.99)	47.01 (44.59 - 49.30)	6.11 (4.16 - 8.74)	—	0.479 (0.422 - 0.537)	99.02	76	0.039*
	55 mm CRichard	60.82 (58.76 - 64.82)	6.86 (5.28 - 11.23)	0.95 (0.88 - 0.99)	61.10 (59.23 - 65.16)	6.21 (4.53 - 10.85)	0.328 (0.117 - 2.160)	0.470 (0.378 - 0.616)	72.4	51	0.026*

Comparisons of the selectivity curves obtained with the 45- and 55-mm grids around Bjørnøya show clear differences between the grids for both cod and haddock. Compared to the 55 mm grid the curves show that the 45 mm grid will catch significantly more cod larger than MLS without significantly increasing the catch of fish smaller than MLS when fishing in the same fish population (Fig. 3c). For haddock on the other hand, the difference between the

selection curves is larger for fish larger than *MLS*, but the 45 mm grid will also catch more fish under *MLS* than the 55 mm grid when fishing on the same population (Fig. 3f).




Fig. 3: Plots a-b and d-e show length-dependent retention probabilities for cod and haddock with the 45- and 55-mm grids in the Bjørnøya area. In each plot, the circles represent the experimental observations, the solid curve represents the model fitted to the data, and the dashed curves represent the 95% CIs. The red line represents the population caught by the control gear whereas the green line represents the population caught by the test gear. Plots c and f show comparisons between the selectivity curve obtained with the 45 mm grid (grey) and the 55 mm grid (black) for each species. Dashed curves represent the 95% CIs.

Comparisons of the selectivity curves obtained with the 45- and 55-mm grids in Sørøya show also clear differences between the selection properties of the grids, specially for cod and saithe. For cod, the results show that the 45 mm grid will catch significantly more fish larger than *MLS* than the 55 mm grid, however, unlike the results obtained in Bjørnøya, the 45 mm grid would also catch significantly more fish smaller than *MLS* (Fig. 4c). For haddock, the 45 mm grid would catch significantly more fish between ca. 40 and 53 cm catching little more fish smaller than 40 cm (Fig. 4g). Finally, the results for saithe show that the grid with 45 mm bar spacing would catch significantly more fish larger than *MLS* than the grid with a 55 mm bar spacing, and only significantly more fish of a few length classes smaller than its *MLS* of 45 cm (Fig. 4j).




Fig. 4: Plots a-b, d-e and g-h show length-dependent retention probabilities for cod, haddock and saithe with the 45- and 55-mm grids in the Sørøya area. In each plot, the circles represent the experimental observations, the solid curve represents the models fitted to the data, and the dashed curves represent the 95% CIs. The red line represents the population caught by the control gear whereas the green line represents the population caught by the test gear. Plots c, f and i show comparisons between the selectivity curve obtained with the 45 mm grid (grey) and the 55 mm grid (black) for each species. Dashed curves represent the 95% CIs.

3.2. Exploitation pattern indicators

Regarding the exploitation pattern indicators, the results showed that in general, the probability to catch fish smaller than *MLS* was significantly higher for the 45 mm grid than for the 55 mm grid, whereas the probability to catch fish larger than *MLS* was significantly higher for the 45 mm grid than for the 55 mm grid. In all cases except for haddock in Sørøya, the discard ratio was significantly lower than the 15% in numbers allowed by the authorities today.

Table 2: Exploitation pattern indicator values for the two areas, two different grids tested, and three species sampled during the sea trials. Values are given in %.

			Probability below <i>MLS</i>	Probability above <i>MLS</i>	Discard ratio
BJØRNØYA	Cod	45 mm	24.24 (17.31 - 32.21)	96.68 (74.59 - 100.00)	6.32 (4.73 - 8.26)
		55 mm	17.07 (11.03 - 22.90)	55.38 (51.30 - 61.36)	3.54 (1.73 - 7.18)
	Haddock	45 mm	27.24 (16.43 - 40.37)	93.68 (82.17 - 100.00)	1.38 (1.06 - 1.74)
		55 mm	15.70 (7.29 - 22.59)	67.77 (47.77 - 82.69)	2.40 (1.36 - 4.30)
SØRØYA	Cod	45 mm	12.70 (8.00 - 18.52)	91.53 (73.87 - 100.00)	9.12 (5.70 - 13.54)
		55 mm	2.40 (0.98 - 4.57)	31.41 (24.23 - 39.25)	4.83 (2.02 - 8.39)
	Haddock	45 mm	3.12 (1.99 - 4.29)	45.21 (33.90 - 59.68)	50.45 (42.54 - 58.12)
		55 mm	1.25 (0.70 - 2.00)	6.29 (2.82 - 11.32)	54.84 (47.38 - 64.48)
	Saithe	45 mm	25.24 (12.88 - 40.63)	72.67 (61.08 - 84.96)	1.04 (0.61 - 1.47)
		55 mm	3.58 (1.56 - 6.81)	12.74 (9.12 - 16.72)	0.59 (0.25 - 1.04)

4. Discussion and conclusion

The results of this study show that in general, the use of a 45 mm grid significantly increases the proportion of fish larger than *MLS* caught by the gear with little increase of the proportion of fish smaller than *MLS*. This result is very similar to the result obtained for the research cruise carried out onboard R/V “Helmer Hanssen” in December 2020 (Fig. 1), where the comparison of both grids showed a significant increase of catches of cod, haddock and redfish larger than *MLS* with no significant increase in the catches of fish smaller than *MLS*. Thus, it can be concluded that in relation to the *MLS* of cod, haddock redfish and saithe in the Barents Sea today, 45 mm could be a more adequate bar spacing to use in the grid. However, it needs to be considered that Norwegian fishermen have in multiple occasions expressed their lack of interest for fish just larger than *MLS*, making in most cases would make a grid in 50- or 55-mm a more adequate choice. This conclusion is based on the selection curves i.e. proportion of fish expected to be retained at each length class, and the catches will of course depend on the size distribution of the population in the fishing area. If it is dominated by large quantities of fish smaller than *MLS* for example, it may be more adequate to use larger bar spacing, as a marginal difference in the selection curve at those sizes may have large implications. Contrary, if the numbers of fish smaller than and/or around *MLS* are low, it may be more beneficial to lower the bar spacing in the grid to increase the efficiency of the catches of commercial sizes of fish.

The implications of the population structure in the fishing area for the catch composition are well illustrated by haddock in this study. The retention curves obtained for haddock in Bjørnøya

show higher retention rates than in Sørøya, however, the discard ratios calculated for the species with both grids are much higher for haddock in Sørøya than in Bjørnøya. The discard ratio was >50% in Sørøya vs <3% in Bjørnøya for both grids. This result is consequence of the high numbers of haddock under *MLS* present in the fishing ground outside Sørøya at the time the trials were carried out (Fig. 4e-f), and shows that flexibility in gear choice including sorting devices other than grids may be necessary in the near future to increase catch efficiency, reduce effort and make whitefish trawl fisheries more cost-efficient and rational. It must also be bear in mind that in mixed fisheries like the Barents Sea whitefish fishery, achieving satisfactory selectivity results with a universal gear for species with different morphology, behavior and *MLS*s implemented today is very difficult (only possible with very specific and seldom met population structures). In this context, adapting the *MLS*s of the species involved to realistic limits with the selectivity gear in force may also be necessary.

Another issue that the results of the present trials bring up, are the limitations of the paired-gear method for selectivity studies. The paired-gear method is a convenient method when the use of covers is challenging for practical issues, which is often the case on trials carried out on commercial vessels. It is indirect method, i.e. the fish retained by the gear is directly estimated from the fish retained by the test codend, whereas the population fished on is indirectly estimated from a small meshed control gear that is towed simultaneously, requiring a substantially larger amount of fish to be measured to provide the same precision (Herrmann et al., 2016). The numbers of fish measured in these trials were high (>120000 individuals), however, the lack of large numbers of length classes above the selective range, especially for haddock and saithe, created scatter in the data increasing the diversity of models suitable to describe the data and the uncertainty of the model finally fitted to explain the results. This is well illustrated by the haddock caught in Sørøya with the 55 mm grid (Fig. 4f), where the numbers of fish within the selective range of the grid (e.g., 50-60 cm) were so low that the upper part of the selection curve was difficult to define. For future experiments where the size range of the species is expected to be at the edge the selectivity range of the gear, it is recommended to use the covered codend method instead.

References

- Akaike, H. 1974. A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control* 19: 716–723. doi:10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705.
- Cheng, Z., Einarsson, H.A., Bayse, S., Herrmann, B., Winger, P., 2019. Comparing size selectivity of traditional and knotless diamond-mesh codends in the Iceland redfish (*Sebastes* spp.) fishery. *Fish. Res.* 216, 138–144.

- Efron, B., 1982. The jackknife, the bootstrap and other resampling plans. SIAM Monograph No 38, CBMS-NSF.
- Herrmann, B., Sistiaga, M., Nielsen, K.N., and Larsen, R.B. 2012. Understanding the size selectivity of redfish (*Sebastes* spp.) in North Atlantic trawl codends. J. Northw. Atl. Fish. Sci. 44: 1-13. doi:10.2960/J.v44.m680.
- Herrmann, B., Sistiaga, M., Santos, J., Sala, A., 2016. How many fish need to be measured to effectively evaluate trawl selectivity? PLoS ONE 11 (8): e0161512.
- Kalogirou, S., Pihl, L., Maravelias, C.D., Herrmann, B., Smith, C.J., Papadopoulou, N., Notti, E., Sala, A., 2019. Shrimp trap selectivity in a Mediterranean small-scale-fishery. Fisheries Research, Vol. 211, 131-140.
- Lomeli, 2019. Bycatch Reduction in Eastern North Pacific Trawl Fisheries. A dissertation for the degree of Doctor Philosophiae. The Arctic University of Norway, Faculty of Biosciences, Fisheries and Economy, Norwegian College of Fishery Science, Tromsø, Norway. 190 pp. ISBN 978-82-8266-175.
- Melli, V., Herrmann, B., Karlsen, J.D., Feekings, J.P., Krag, L.A., 2020. Predicting optimal combinations of bycatch reduction devices in trawl gears: a meta-analytical approach. Fish Fish. 21 (2), 252–268..
- Millar, R. B. 1993. Incorporation of between-haul variation using bootstrapping and nonparametric estimation of selection curves. Fisheries Bulletin 91, 564-572.
- Sala, A., Brcic, J., Herrmann, B., Lucchetti, A., Virgili, M., 2017. Assessment of size selectivity in hydraulic clam dredge fisheries. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 74, 339–348.
- Santos, J., Herrmann, B., Mieske, B., Stepputtis, D., Krumme, U., Nilsson, H., 2016. Reducing flatfish by-catches in roundfish fisheries. Fisheries Research 184: 64-73.
- Sistiaga, M., Brinkhof, J., Herrmann, B., Larsen, R.B., Grimaldo, E., Jørgensen, T., Ingolfsson, O.A., Jacques, N., Cerbule, K., Saltskår, J., Utne Palm, A.C., Brinkhof, I., 2021. Development of selectivity systems for gadoid trawls. Tests with sorting grids, shortened lastridge ropes and vertical separation onboard R/V Helmer Hanssen. Scientific status report June 2021. Project report, FHF project number 901633, 93 pp.
- Sistiaga, M., Herrmann, B., Nielsen, K. N., Larsen, R.B. 2011. Understanding limits to cod and haddock separation using size selectivity in a multispecies trawl fishery: an application of FISHSELECT. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 68: 927–940. doi:10.1139/f2011-017.
- Wienbeck, H., Herrmann, B., Feekings, J. P., Stepputtis, D., Moderhak, W., 2014. A comparative analysis of legislated and modified Baltic Sea trawl codends for simultaneously improving the size selection of cod (*Gadus morhua*) and plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*). Fisheries research, 150, 28-37.